CPSC 340/540 Tutorial 5

Winter 2024 Term 1

T1A: Tuesday 16:00-17:00; T1C: Thursday 10:00-11:00;

Office Hour: Wednesday 15:00-16:00

Slides can be found at Piazza and my personal page after T1C.

Yi (Joshua) Ren

<https://joshua-ren.github.io/> renyi.joshua@gmail.com PhD with Danica

Publications Notes and TA Here are links for TA sessions of CPSC 340 (Machine Learning and Data Mining - Fall 2024) Week 1: basic knowledge review

Machine Learning: Learning dynamics, LLM, Compositional Generalization

> More helpful on theory Less helpful on coding

Slides Credit: To various pervious TA's of this course

- Gradient Descent
- Robust Regression
- Some mid-term questions

Regression: (different regularizers)

- Recap of different norms
	- \triangleright L0-norm: non-zero elements in a vector
	- \triangleright L1-norm: usually use to introduce sparsity (vertex at axis)
	- \triangleright L2-norm: Gaussian, Euclidian distance, most common
	- L∞-norm: select the maximum value

FIGURE 3.11. Estimation picture for the lasso (left) and ridge regression (right). Shown are contours of the error and constraint functions. The solid blue areas are the constraint regions $|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| \leq t$ and $\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 \leq t^2$, respectively, while the red ellipses are the contours of the least squares error function.

Unit ball, p=0 to 2

 $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.$

Unit ball, i.e.,
$$
||x||_p = 1
$$

Assignment $3 - 1.1$

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |w^T x_i - y_i|\right)^2
$$
. $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i (w^T x_i - y_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d w_j^2$.

General tricks:

1. Recall the definition of norms, inner product, etc. 2. Consider diagnal matrix 3. Use $\bm{r} = [r_1, ..., r_n]^T$; $r_i = w^T x_i - y_i$ $r = XW - Y$

$$
\|\mathbf{x}\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p} \hspace{1cm} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_ix_j = \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} A \mathbf{x}, \hspace{1cm} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i x_i = \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} A \mathbf{x}
$$

Convexity: (basic facts)

- Checking measurement:
	- $f'' > 0$ Hessian matrix is PSD
- Compose functions:
	- V Norm, linear, sum, max, ... ? $f(g())$, multiplication, ...

• Intuition: • Definition:

For all $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and all $x_1, x_2 \in X$: $f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \leq tf(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2)$

 $\varphi(\mathrm{E}[X])\leq \mathrm{E}[\varphi(X)]=\mathrm{E}[Y]$.

Gradient Descent: (what is GD)

Gradient Descent: (why we need GD?)

$$
w^* = \left(\overbrace{(X^T X)}^{-1} X^T y
$$

$$
T y \qquad \qquad w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha^t X^T (Xw^t - y)
$$

- More efficient when data is high-dim (inverse of a d*d matrix)
	- Normal equations cost $O(nd^2 + d^3)$.
	- Gradient descent costs $O(ndt)$ to run for 't' iterations.
		- Each of the 't' iterations costs O(nd).
- Require too many assumptions
	- $X^T X$ might be non-invertable (e.g., $n < d$ \rightarrow rank of it would be at most n)
	- The problem must be convex to ensure w^* is a good solution. For GD, in deep learning, loss landscape like this ... Any reasonable good local optimum is good enough

$$
w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha^t \nabla_w f(x)
$$

• Influence of learning rate – General facts:

$$
w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha^t \nabla_w f(x)
$$

• More subtle difference for deep learning:

- More subtle influence of LR in deep learning - Usually in practice: different LR schedulers

$$
w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha^t \boldsymbol{\nabla_w} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

• Various a lot for different types of targets and networks. Chain rule is widely used. (One example in my paper)

$$
[\mathcal{G}_{\text{DPO}}^{t}]_{l} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{DPO}}}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial b} \nabla_{\pi} b|_{\pi_{\theta^{t}}} \nabla_{\mathbf{z}_{l}} \pi^{t}|_{\mathbf{z}_{l}^{t}} \n= -\frac{1}{a} a(1-a) \langle \nabla_{\pi} b|_{\pi_{\theta^{t}}}, [\mathcal{A}^{t}(\chi_{u})]_{l} \rangle \n= -(1-a) \langle \beta \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{SFT}}([\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{y}_{u}^{-}]_{l}) - \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFT}}([\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{y}_{u}^{+}]_{l}) \right), [\mathcal{A}^{t}(\chi_{u})]_{l} \rangle \n= -\beta (1-a) \left(\langle \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFT}}([\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{y}_{u}^{-}]_{l}), [\mathcal{A}^{t}(\chi_{u})]_{l} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFT}}([\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{y}_{u}^{+}]_{l}), [\mathcal{A}^{t}(\chi_{u})]_{l} \rangle \right) \n= -\beta (1-a) \left((\pi_{\theta^{t}}(\mathbf{y}_{u}^{-}) - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}_{u}^{-}}) - (\pi_{\theta^{t}}(\mathbf{y}_{u}^{+}) - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}_{u}^{+}}) \right)_{l} \n\approx \beta (1-a) \left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}_{u}^{-}} - \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}_{u}^{+}} \right)_{l}.
$$
\n(16)

But implementation is suprisingly simple, thanks to **Autogradient** mechanism (e.g., in Pytorch)

```
net = Net()class Net(nn.Module):
   def init (self):
                                                                     criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()super(). init ()optimizer = optim. SGD(net.parameters(), 1r=0.001, momentum=0.9)
       self.conv1 = nn.Conv2d(3, 6, 5)self.pool = nn.MaxPool2d(2, 2)self.conv2 = nn.Conv2d(6, 16, 5)self.fc1 = nn.Linear(16 * 5 * 5, 120)
                                                                     inputs, labels = data
       self.fc2 = nn.Linear(120, 84)self.fc3 = nnu. Linear(84, 10)
                                                                     # zero the parameter gradients
   def forward(self, x):
                                                                     optimizer.zero grad()
       x = self.pool(F.relu(self.comv1(x)))x = self.pool(F.relu(self.comv2(x)))# forward + backward + optimize
       x = torch.flatten(x, 1) # flatten all dimensions except batch
                                                                     outputs = net(inputs)x = F.\text{relu}(\text{self.fc1}(x))loss = criterion(outputs, labels)x = F.\text{relu}(\text{self.fc2}(x))loss.backward()
       x = self.fc3(x)optimizer.step()
       return x
```
 $w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha^t \overline{V}_w f(x)$

• Influence of the relative size of different dimension in w (LR is too small for one dim, but too large for another dim)

• Solution to it: layer-normalization (very common in deep learning) or adaptive LR method (e.g., Adam)

- Gradient Descent
- Robust Regression
- Some mid-term questions

Robust regression: standard solutions

• Facts:

• How to solve it?

Robust regression: all about outliers, but is it indeed bad?

• In practice, long tail and Zipf's law:

Fig. 1. The label distribution of a long-tailed dataset (e.g., the iNaturalist species dataset [23] with more than 8,000 classes). The head-class feature space learned on these sampled is often larger than tail classes, while the decision boundary is usually biased towards dominant classes.

They are rare, but not outliers, and should be very important!

- Gradient Descent
- Robust Regression
- Some mid-term questions

2017-Q1

What is the effect of the number of features d that our model uses on the two parts of the (d) fundamental trade-off?

Larger d \rightarrow higher-dim input \rightarrow Curse of dim, need more data \rightarrow current data is not enough \rightarrow imagine only 1 data \rightarrow seriously overfitting \rightarrow ...

• But slighly increase d might be helpful sometimes (also 2D-3D).

Assign **pseudo labels** for downstream tasks; use the means as representation for the group (coreset selection); different clusters for different experts; etc...

2017-Q1

- In regression, what is a situation where we would want to minimize the L1-norm error (i) $(\|Xw - y\|_1)$ instead of the least squares error $(\|Xw - y\|^2)$?
	- **When you have outliers.**

Why would we want to approximate the $L\infty$ -norm error with the log-sum-exp function? (k)

```
Argmax v.s. Softmax
Stepwise v.s. Sigmoid Many good properties, easy-to-handle derivative, smooth, etc.
```
Thanks for your time! Questions?